



Evaluating the Safety of Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery (RIRS): Intra- and Early Postoperative **Complications in Patients Enrolled in the Global** Multicentre Flexible Ureteroscopy Outcome Registry (FLEXOR)

Carlo Giulioni 1, Demetra Fuligni 1, Carlo Brocca 1, Deepak Ragoori 2, Ben Hall Chew 3, Esteban Emiliani 4, Chin Tiong Heng 5, Yiloren Tanidir 6, Nariman Gadzhiev 7, Abhishek Singh 8, Saeed Bin Hamri 9, Boyke Soehabali 10, Andrea Benedetto Galosi 1, Thomas Tailly 11, Olivier Traxer 12, Bhaskar Kumar Somani 13, Marcelo L. Wrocławski 14, Vineet Gauhar 5, Daniele Castellani 1

¹ Urology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria delle Marche, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy; ² Department of Urology, Asian Institute of Nephrology & Urology, Irram Manzil Colony, Hyderabad, Telangana, India; 3 Department of Urology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; 4 Department of Urology, Fundacion Puigvert, Autónomos University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; 5 Department of Urology, Ng Teng Fong General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore; ⁶ Department of Urology, School of Medicine, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey; ⁷ Department of Urology, Saint-Petersburg State University Hospital, Saint-Petersburg, Russia; 8 Muljibhai Patel Urological Hospital, Nadiad, India; 9 Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Ministry of the National Guard Health Affairs, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; 10 Department of Urology, Abdul Wahab Sjahranie Hospital, Medical Faculty Mulawarman University, Samarinda, Indonesia; Department of Urology, University Hospital Ghent, Ghent, Belgium; 12 Department of Urology AP-HP, Sorbonne University, Tenon Hospital, Paris, France; 13 Department of Urology, University Hospitals Southampton, NHS Trust, Southampton, UK; 14 Department of Urology, Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, SP. Brasil

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To assess the incidence of the most common intra- and early postoperative complications following RIRS in a large series of patients with kidney stones.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective analysis of patients with kidney stones who underwent RIRS across 21 centers from January 2018 to August 2021, as part of the Global Multicenter Flexible Ureteroscopy Outcome (FLEXOR) Registry.

Results: Among 6669 patients undergoing RIRS, 4.5% experienced intraoperative pelvicalyceal system bleeding without necessitating blood transfusion. Only 0.1% of patients, required a blood transfusion. The second most frequent intraoperative complication was ureteric injury due to the ureteral access sheath requiring stenting (1.8% of patients). Postoperatively, the most prevalent early complications were fever/infections requiring antibiotics (6.3%), blood transfusions (5.5%), and sepsis necessitating intensive care unit admission (1.3%). In cases of ureteric injury, a notably higher percentage of patients exhibited multiple stones and stone(s) in the lower pole, and these cases were correlated

ARTICLE INFO



Carlo Giulioni

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9934-4011

Keywords:

Kidney Calculi; Hematuria; Sepsis

Int Braz J Urol. 2024; 50: 459-69

with prolonged lasing and overall surgical time. Hematuria requiring a blood transfusion was associated with an increased prevalence of larger median maximum stone diameters, particularly among patients with stones exceeding 20 mm. Furthermore, these cases exhibited a significant prolongation in surgical time. Sepsis necessitating admission to the intensive care unit was more prevalent among the elderly, concomitant with a significantly larger median maximum stone diameter.

Conclusions: Our analysis showed that RIRS has a good safety profile but bleeding requiring transfusions, ureteric injury, fever, and sepsis are still the most common complications despite advancements in technology.

Submitted for publication: January 31, 2024

Accepted after revision: April 05, 2024

Published as Ahead of Print: May 20, 2024s

INTRODUCTION

The global incidence of kidney stone disease (KSD) is increasing worldwide, with an estimated lifetime prevalence of 14% (1), leading to a simultaneous rise in annual curative procedures (2). The most common procedures employed to manage stones in the upper tract are shockwave lithotripsy, percutaneous nephrolithotomy, and retrograde intrarenal surgery (RIRS) (3).

The ongoing advancements in endoluminal endourology for the upper urinary tract have broadened the scope of RIRS in clinical practice. RIRS has moved from an alternative to primary intervention for treating renal stones up to 20 mm in maximum diameter, according to the European and American Association of Urology guidelines (3, 4). However, akin to any surgery, even this one exposes the patient to a series of complications. The most commonly reported complications include discomfort from a ureteral stent, injuries to the ureteral wall, and migration of fragments (5).

Among early worst postoperative complications, the most prevalent is fever, followed by urinary tract infections, with an incidence ranging from 0.2% to 15% (5). Another particularly serious complication is sepsis, which has an incidence between 0.1 and 4.3% (6). In rare cases, sepsis can become fatal and represents the primary cause of postoperative mortality, alongside other complications such as cardiac issues, respiratory complications, multiorgan failure, and hemorrhagic complications (5).

This study aims to evaluate both intra and early postoperative complications in a large, multicenter series of patients undergoing RIRS for kidney stones.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective analysis of adult patients undergoing RIRS for kidney stones from January 2018 to August 2021 in 21 centers from fifteen countries was performed. The full study protocol has been previously published (7). Briefly, the FLEXible ureteroscopy Outcomes Registry (FLEXOR) study enrolled 6669 patients who underwent RIRS for unilateral kidney stone(s). Exclusion criteria were bilateral procedures, ureteral stone, renal anomalies, RIRS done prone position, or RIRS done as combined procedures for Endoscopic Combined Intrarenal Surgery. Participating surgeons had previous experience performing at least 500 flexible ureteroscopy cases. There were no specific criteria established for including or excluding particular methods of performing the procedure. The RIRS procedure was conducted based on the standard of care and surgical practices followed by each institute. Antibiotic prophylaxis was performed according to each center's protocol. Intraoperative outcomes and stone-free rate were already reported (7) and are not analyzed in this paper. For the present study, both intraoperative and early postoperative complications were analyzed. Early postoperative complications were considered if occurred within 30 days of RIRS and classified according to the Clavien-Dindo classification system. Fever was defined as an increase in body temperature ≥38°C. Sepsis was defined as "a life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection" and assessed using the quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (q-SOFA) score (8).

The participant centers contributed to the database established, maintained, and overseen in accordance with the approval obtained from the Institutional board review of the leading centers (#AINU 08/2022). Each participating center had its own approval.

Statistical Analysis

Patients were divided into two groups according to the presence or not of each complication, namely ureteric injury, sepsis, and hematuria requiring a blood transfusion. Continuous data were reported as mean and standard deviation and cate-

gorical data were displayed as absolute numbers and percentages. In cases where data were absent, the count of patients with missing values was indicated. Hence, the percentages were computed considering the entire cohort. The Student t-test was employed to examine differences between the two groups concerning continuous variables, while Chi-square and Fisher's exact test were utilized for categorical variables. Statistical tests were conducted using the SPSS software package version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

RESULTS

In Table-1 shows intra and early postoperative complications. 300 (4.5%) patients had intraoperative pelvicalyceal system bleeding not requiring blood transfusion. The second most common intraoperative complication was ureteric injury due to ureteral access sheath (UAS) requiring prolonged stenting that occurred in 119 (1.8%) patients. Intra-

Table 1 - Overall intra- and early postoperative complications.

| Complication | N=6669 |
|---|------------|
| Intraoperative | |
| Pelvicalyceal system bleeding not requiring blood transfusion | 300 (4.5%) |
| Pelvicalyceal system bleeding requiring blood transfusion | 6 (0.1%) |
| Ureteric injury due to access sheath requiring stenting | 119 (1.8%) |
| Early Postoperative | |
| Fever/Infections requiring antibiotics (Clavien grade 2) | 407 (6.3%) |
| Hematuria requiring blood transfusions (Clavien Grade 2) | 366 (5.5%) |
| Sepsis requiring ICU admission (Clavien Grade 4) | 84 (1.3%) |
| Others | 12 (0.18%) |
| Aspiration pneumonia with acute respiratory failure (Clavien grade 3) | 1 (0.01%) |
| Urinary retention requiring bladder catheterization (Clavien grade 1) | 4 (0.06%) |
| Perirenal Urinoma requiring drainage (Clavien grade 3b) | 1 (0.01%) |
| Perirenal hematoma requiring drainage (Clavien grade 3b) | 1 (0.01%) |
| Stent migration requiring reinsertion (Clavien grade 3b) | 5 (0.07%) |

operative pelvicalyceal bleeding requiring a blood transfusion occurred in 6 (0.1%) patients. Fever/Infections requiring antibiotics (Clavien grade 2) was the most common postoperative complication that happened in 407 (6.3%) patients. 366 (5.5%) patients required a blood transfusion (Clavien grade 2), while sepsis with intensive care unit admission (Clavien grade 4b) occurred in 84 (1.3%) patients. Other complications occurred in 12 (0.18%) patients.

Table-2 shows data on patients having or not having ureteric injury. Median age and maximum stone diameter, male gender, prestented patients, use of semirigid ureteroscopy before RIRS, use of reusable scopes (vs single-use scopes), Holmium laser (vs Thulium fiber laser) and general anesthesia (vs spinal anesthesia) were similar between the groups. Conversely, there was a significantly larger proportion of patients with multiple stones (58.8% vs 41.5%, p<0.001) and stones in the lower pole (63.5% vs 44.1%) in patients with ureteric injury. Patients with injury had a significantly longer median lasing [27 (24-31.5) vs 22 (14-35) minutes, p=0.020) and total operation times [65 (49.5-90) vs 54 (39-75) minutes, p<0.001].

Table-3 shows data on patients having or not having hematuria requiring a blood transfusion. Median age and proportion of males did not differ significantly. Median maximum stone diameter [15 (12-20) vs 11 (8.0-15) mm, p<0.001] was significantly higher in patients requiring a blood transfusion. There was a significantly higher proportion of patients having a stone larger than 20 mm (37.2% vs 8.9%, p<0.001) in patients who had blood transfusions. There was a significantly higher proportion of pre-stented patients in the non-transfusion group (47.9% vs 38.6%, p=0.001). The use of a reusable scope was significantly higher in the non-transfusion group (73.0% vs 53.8%, p<0.001). Surgical time was also significantly longer in patients having blood transfusion [65 (48-85) vs 52 (39-75) minutes, p<0.001].

Table-4 shows data on patients having or not having sepsis requiring intensive care unit admission. Patients who had sepsis were significantly older [54 (40-66) vs 49 (37-60) years, p=0.024], whilst the pro-

portion of females did not significantly differ. There was a significantly higher proportion of patients presenting with fever in the sepsis group (21.7% vs 9.6%, p=0.001). Median maximum stone diameter [15 (10-20) vs 11 (8.0-15 mm, p<0.001] was significantly higher in the sepsis group. Yet, there was a significantly higher proportion of patients having a stone larger than 20 mm in patients who had sepsis (24.5% vs 9.9%, p<0.001). The use of a reusable scope was significantly higher in the non-sepsis group (60.7% vs 72.2%, p=0.027). Lasing and total surgical time did not differ significantly.

DISCUSSION

RIRS gained prominence in the management of renal stones and is currently recommended for kidney stones measuring up to 2 cm in the largest diameter (9). In current practice, RIRS is regarded as an outpatient procedure due to its safety (10, 11). The advancement of technology and instruments employed has also heightened the effectiveness of this surgical procedure. However, in the endeavor to expand the RIRS indications based on both patient and stone characteristics, it is crucial to carefully assess the risks associated with this procedure. Indeed, maintaining adequate intrarenal pressure and temperature is imperative to prevent epithelial damage of the collecting system and intrarenal bacterial migration by retrorenal reflux (12). Furthermore, while the percentages remain low, there is an increasing trend in post-RIRS deaths over the past decade, with the etiology of sepsis identified in over half of the cases (13).

In our analysis, encompassing 6669 patients, we examined the most prevalent intra- and postoperative complications. Ureteric injury due to UAS occurred in 1.8% of patients. This ancillary device is widely used in the urologist's armamentarium for RIRS owing to its procedural benefits. Indeed, its utilization enhances irrigation efflux during RIRS and mitigates intrarenal pressure in the context of forced irrigation (14). As evidenced by an in-vitro investigation, in the absence of a UAS, the intrarenal pres-

Table 2 - Patients' characteristics according to the occurrence or not of ureteric injury requiring prolonged stenting.

| | Ureteric injury N=119 (1.8%) | No ureteric injury N=6550 (98.2%) | р |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Age, median [IQR] | 48 [38, 58.5] | 49 [37, 60] | 0.510 |
| Proportion of Females | 41 (34.5) | 2221 (33.9) | 0.979 |
| Presenting with fever | 26 (21.8) | 625 (9.6) | <0.001 |
| Urine culture positive | 30 (25.2) | 2358 (36.6) | 0.013 |
| Multiple stones | 67 (58.8) | 2667 (41.5) | <0.001 |
| Maximum stone diameter (mm), median [IQR] | 11 [8.0, 14] | 11.0 [8.0, 15] | 0.376 |
| Stone diameter >2cm | 2 (4.9) | 298 (10.3) | 0.382 |
| Stone location* | | | |
| Upper pole | 24 (20.9) | 1450 (22.3) | 0.797 |
| Interpolar | 29 (25.2) | 2015 (31.0) | 0.222 |
| Lower pole | 73 (63.5) | 2873 (44.1) | <0.001 |
| Pelvis | 40 (33.9) | 2156 (33.1) | 0.926 |
| HU, median [IQR] | 845 [610, 1168] | 1000 [750, 1200] | 0.006 |
| Prestented patients | 65 (54.6) | 3093 (47.3) | 0.133 |
| Preoperative antibiotics | 102 (85.7) | 5027 (76.7) | 0.028 |
| Reusable scope | 87 (73.1) | 4720 (72.1) | 0.881 |
| Holmium laser | 89 (74.8) | 4735 (72.3) | 0.617 |
| Total operation time, median [IQR] | 65 [49.5, 90] | 54 [39, 75] | <0.001 |
| Lasing time, median [IQR] | 27 [24, 31.5] | 22 [14, 35] | 0.020 |
| General anesthesia | 83 (69.7) | 4270 (65.2) | 0.348 |
| Respiratory control | | | 0.302 |
| None | 65 (54.6) | 3223 (49.2) | |
| Gated | 39 (32.8) | 2171 (33.1) | |
| Apneic | 15 (12.6) | 1156 (17.6) | |

HU = Hounsfield unit; IQR = interquartile range; * = more than one choice possible

Table 3 - Patients characteristics according to the occurrence or not of hematuria requiring a blood transfusion.

| | Hematuria N=366 (5.5%) | No hematuria N=6303 (94.5%) | р |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Age, median [IQR] | 47 [38, 58] | 49 [37, 60] | 0.241 |
| Proportion of Females | 129 (35.2) | 2133 (33.8) | 0.621 |
| Presenting with fever | 51 (13.9) | 600 (9.6) | 0.008 |
| Urine culture positive | 96 (26.3) | 2292 (37.0) | < 0.001 |
| Multiple stones | 179 (50.0) | 2555 (41.3) | 0.001 |
| Maximum stone diameter (mm), median [IQR] | 15 [12, 20] | 11 [8.0, 15] | <0.001 |
| Stone diameter >2cm | 51 (37.2) | 249 (8.9) | <0.001 |
| Stone location* | | | |
| Upper pole | 105 (29.3) | 1369 (21.9) | 0.001 |
| Interpolar | 116 (32.4) | 1928 (30.8) | 0.555 |
| Lower pole | 181 (50.4) | 2765 (44.1) | 0.023 |
| Pelvis | 153 (42.4) | 2043 (32.5) | <0.001 |
| HU, median [IQR] | 900 [588, 1100] | 1000 [750, 1208] | <0.001 |
| Prestented patients | 141 (38.6) | 3017 (47.9) | 0.001 |
| Preoperative antibiotics | 284 (77.6) | 4845 (76.9) | 0.797 |
| Reusable scope | 208 (56.8) | 4599 (73.0) | <0.001 |
| Holmium laser | 249 (68.0) | 4575 (72.6) | 0.067 |
| Total operation time, median [IQR] | 65 [48, 85] | 52 [39, 75] | <0.001 |
| Lasing time, median [IQR] | 20 [12, 30] | 22 [15, 35] | 0.244 |
| General anesthesia | 241 (65.8) | 4112 (65.2) | 0.856 |
| Respiratory control | | | 0.023 |
| None | 199 (54.4) | 3089 (49.0) | |
| Gated | 121 (33.1) | 2089 (33.1) | |
| Apneic | 46 (12.6) | 1125 (17.8) | |

HU = Hounsfield unit; IQR = interquartile range; * = more than one choice possible

sure (IRP) surpassed 40 cmH2O at irrigation levels of 153 cmH2O and 193 cmH2O, reaching up to 165 cmH2O (15) using an automated irrigation system. In contrast, the employment of a UAS consistently kept IRP below 40 cmH2O across diverse irrigation pressures. Notwithstanding, the introduction of a UAS entails the prospect of acute ureteral injury, attributed to its caliber being 4 to 8 French larger than the typical adult ureter, thereby resulting in an undue application of bulking force (16). Moreover, research indicates that subjecting a UAS to

an elevated deployment force heightens the likelihood of ureteric injury (17). Consequently, surgeons should exercise caution to prevent excessive insertion force during placement. It is plausible that pre-stenting may confer additional advantages in this context.

Interestingly, when comparing patients who experienced ureteral injury with those who did not, it was observed in our series that the former group exhibited a higher prevalence of preoperative antibiotic usage to treat positive urine culture. This finding sug-

Table 4 - Patients characteristics according to the occurrence or not of sepsis requiring intensive care admission.

| | Sepsis N=84 (1.3%) | No sepsis N=6585 (98.7%) | р |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Age, median [IQR] | 54 [40, 66] | 49 [37, 60] | 0.024 |
| Proportion of Females | 33 (39.3) | 2229 (33.8) | 0.352 |
| Presenting with fever | 18 (21.7) | 633 (9.6) | 0.001 |
| Urine culture positive | 30 (35.7) | 2358 (36.5) | 0.980 |
| Multiple stones | 32 (38.1) | 2702 (41.8) | 0.567 |
| Maximum stone diameter (mm), median [IQR] | 15 [10, 20] | 11 [8.0, 15] | <0.001 |
| Stone diameter >2cm | 13 (24.5) | 287 (9.9) | 0.001 |
| Stone location* | | | |
| Upper pole | 20 (23.8) | 1454 (22.3) | 0.839 |
| Interpolar | 30 (35.7) | 2014 (30.8) | 0.395 |
| Lower pole | 33 (39.3) | 2913 (44.5) | 0.393 |
| Pelvis | 26 (31.0) | 2170 (33.1) | 0.764 |
| HU, median [IQR] | 1027 [776, 1220] | 1000 [746, 1200] | 0.603 |
| Prestented patients | 38 (45.2) | 3120 (47.4) | 0.774 |
| Preoperative antibiotics | 62 (73.8) | 5067 (76.9) | 0.584 |
| Reusable scope | 51 (60.7) | 4756 (72.2) | 0.027 |
| Holmium laser | 46 (54.8) | 4778 (72.6) | <0.001 |
| Total operation time, median [IQR] | 60 [33, 87.5] | 55 [40, 76] | 0.364 |
| Lasing time, median [IQR] | 28 [15.5, 45.5] | 22 [14, 35] | 0.129 |
| General anesthesia | 38 (45.2) | 4315 (65.5) | <0.001 |
| Respiratory control | | | 0.119 |
| None | 48 (57.1) | 3240 (49.2) | |
| Gated | 19 (22.6) | 2191 (33.3) | |
| Apneic | 17 (20.2) | 1154 (17.5) | |

HU = Hounsfield unit; IQR = interquartile range; * = more than one choice possible

gests alterations in the ureteral mucosa as a consequence of chronic urinary tract infection. Specifically, upon scrutinizing specimens of the ureter colonized by Escherichia coli, an upregulation in the expression of genes of epithelial cells associated with the promotion of the apoptosis process is observed (18).

The multiplicity and location of stones in the lower pole were found to be more prevalent, and the overall operation and laser times were on average higher in patients with ureteral injuries. The characteristics of the stone, encompassing its location,

size, and number, delineate the surgical complexity, exerting an influence on treatment duration and being correlated with the development of complications, such as inability to reach the stone, hematuria, ureteral lesions, infectious complications and retreatment (19). Our findings are consistent with a study that included 1060 patients undergoing routine upper tract imaging eight weeks after ureteroscopy (20). Factors identified as predictors for postoperative hydronephrosis included a larger stone size and extended operative time.

In the FLEXOR database, sepsis requiring intensive care unit admission occurred in 84 patients (1.3%). The mean age of patients with this complication was higher compared to those without it, although controversies have emerged on this topic. A sub-analysis of elderly patients from the FLEXOR database did not reveal age as a predictive factor for overall complications or the risk of postoperative sepsis (21). Yet, a recent systematic review also indicated that most studies found insignificant relationships between age and infectious complications following RIRS (22). Therefore, one could argue that chronological age itself does not increase the risk of sepsis when considering the higher likelihood of having comorbidities. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that the presence of chronic pathological conditions has a significant negative impact on surgical risk (23). Furthermore, these patients might require more frequently the use of general anesthesia, which would explain the higher frequency of the latter among patients with postoperative septic complications in our analysis. The stone maximum diameter was found to be higher in patients with sepsis, with stones larger than 2 cm being more frequent in those who had sepsis in our cohort. Indeed, larger stone sizes entail prolonged operative times and increased laser utilization, leading to elevated intrarenal pressure and temperature. This can result in injury to the mucosa of the collecting system and the reabsorption of irrigation fluid containing bacteria and endotoxins (24). Our findings align with the review by Corrales et al., which particularly emphasized stone size as a potential risk factor for sepsis, asserting that the risk of sepsis increases with stone size (6). In our series, patients with sepsis requiring ICU admission exhibited a lower incidence of Holmium laser and reusable scope usage but there is no conclusive evidence suggesting that they are independent predictive factors for infective complications. However, essential details such as the lasing settings, laser power, lithotripsy type (dusting vs. fragmentation), and any encountered issues during the lithotripsy procedure are unavailable, preventing the execution of any subset analysis. Notably, literature does not address these

two variables in the context of the risk of post-RIRS sepsis (6, 22). Therefore, additional research is warranted to validate these findings.

FLEXOR registry documented a 4.5% incidence of mild collecting system injuries accompanied by hematuria that did not require blood transfusion, whereas only 0.1% of cases required transfusion, a phenomenon likely influenced by various factors such as stone complexity, collecting system intricacy, laser parameters, and the surgeon's intraoperative proficiency. In contrast, our study revealed that 5.5% of patients received a blood transfusion for unspecified reasons. Regrettably, detailed information regarding the etiology of these transfusions was unavailable. The dataset lacked information on patients with a history of anticoagulant or antiplatelet usage, underlying coagulopathy, or chronic anemia. Additionally, there was a dearth of specifics regarding whether blood transfusions were directly linked to complications arising from RIRS or were administered as part of managing other comorbidities. Unfortunately, the data did not include information on procedural complexities that might have necessitated transfusions. While the study reported one case each of perirenal hematoma and urinoma (Table-1), details regarding whether these complications required transfusion and their subsequent outcomes were not available. Notably, these complications are recognized as reported outcomes of RIRS, often attributed to elevated IRP or potential surgeon negligence in laser use or overlooking collecting system injuries during RIRS, which may manifest either immediately postoperatively or later (25, 26).

Hematuria requiring a blood transfusion occurred in 5.5% of patients in our series. The restricted diameter of the RIRS scope compounds the intricacies of the surgical procedure, primarily attributable to the onset of hematuria. Factors contributing to the heightened incidence of bleeding during these interventions include increased IRP, distension of cavities in the renal pelvis and calyces, urothelial injury from direct laser application, and stone fragmentation. Our comparative analysis revealed that stone characteristics, specifically their multiplicity and maxi-

mum diameter, along with the operative time, played a role in the hematuria rate. Kim et al. demonstrated a correlation between the severity of hematuria and stone size in univariate analysis and operative times in multivariate analysis within complex stone classifications (27).

The relationship between reusable scopes and lower rates of hemorrhagic complications remains uncertain. Nevertheless, the application of specific disposable scopes introduced heightened complexities in navigating the pelvicalyceal system, exacerbating renal mucosal trauma (28). Furthermore, the imperative for more extensive irrigation to enhance visual clarity consequently might lead to an increased incidence of hematuria.

This study is subject to certain limitations. Firstly, the retrospective design of the investigation imposes inherent constraints on establishing causal relationships and controlling for potential confounding variables. Secondly, the study's focus on a 30-day complication period restricts the comprehensive exploration of long-term outcomes and the identification of potential evolving trends beyond the specified timeframe. Furthermore, as previously highlighted, the absence of comprehensive data hinders a thorough comprehension of the underlying reasons and processes leading to intra and post-operative occurrences of injury, sepsis, and bleeding. Such detailed data would have provided invaluable insights for enhancing the safety protocols of the procedure. Despite these data limitations, our registry analysis unequivocally demonstrates the safety and effectiveness of RIRS when performed by experienced practitioners. It is imperative, however, to acknowledge certain constraints and uphold defined boundaries to mitigate the risk of serious complications. Regrettably, comprehensive data on the site of injury, the classification of UAS injuries according to the prospective analysis by Traxer and Thomas (29), and any inadvertent ureteral injuries resulting from miscellaneous instrument usage were not reported. Additionally, long-term sequelae associated with UAS usage or follow-up information on patients with injuries beyond the 30-day mark are unavailable. It is noteworthy, however, that no instances of ureteric avulsions were documented in our series, and all reported ureteric injuries were managed solely with stent placement, with no recorded instances of surgical repairs but all patients should be followed long-term, because of the higher risk of ureteral stenosis. Lastly, the limited time frame precludes the assessment of the development of postoperative ureteral stenosis, another complication that is relatively commonly encountered.

CONCLUSIONS

FLEXOR reaffirms the safety of RIRS as a minimally invasive surgery for stones. Our study showed that bleeding requiring transfusions, ureteric injury, fever, and sepsis are still the most common complications despite advancements in technology. Experience alone is not enough to prevent but can definitively mitigate serious adverse surgical complications and patients should be made aware of all possible complications.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

REFERENCES

- Rukin NJ, Siddiqui ZA, Chedgy ECP, Somani BK. Trends in Upper Tract Stone Disease in England: Evidence from the Hospital Episodes Statistics Database. Urol Int. 2017;98:391-6. doi: 10.1159/000449510.
- Geraghty RM, Jones P, Somani BK. Worldwide Trends of Urinary Stone Disease Treatment Over the Last Two Decades: A Systematic Review. J Endourol. 2017;31:547-56. doi: 10.1089/end.2016.0895.
- 3. Skolarikos A, A. Neisius A, Petřík A, Somani B, Thomas K, Gambaro G. European Association of Urology Guidelines on Urolithiasis, 2023. [Internet]. Avaliable at. https://d56bochluxqnz.cloudfront.net/documents/full-guideline/EAU-Guidelines-on-Urolithiasis-2023. pdf> Accessed December 21, 2023.

- Assimos D, Krambeck A, Miller NL, Monga M, Murad MH, Nelson CP, et al. Surgical Management of Stones: American Urological Association/Endourological Society Guideline, PART I. J Urol. 2016;196:1153-60. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2016.05.090.
- De Coninck V, Keller EX, Somani B, Giusti G, Proietti S, Rodriguez-Socarras M, et al. Complications of ureteroscopy: a complete overview. World J Urol. 2020;38:2147-66. doi: 10.1007/s00345-019-03012-1.
- Corrales M, Sierra A, Doizi S, Traxer O. Risk of Sepsis in Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Eur Urol Open Sci. 2022;44:84-91. doi: 10.1016/j.euros.2022.08.008.
- Gauhar V, Chew BH, Traxer O, Tailly T, Emiliani E, Inoue T, et al. Indications, preferences, global practice patterns and outcomes in retrograde intrarenal surgery (RIRS) for renal stones in adults: results from a multicenter database of 6669 patients of the global FLEXible ureteroscopy Outcomes Registry (FLEXOR). World J Urol. 2023;41:567-74. doi: 10.1007/s00345-022-04257-z.
- Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA. 2016;315:801-10. doi: 10.1001/ jama.2016.0287.
- Inoue T, Okada S, Hamamoto S, Fujisawa M. Retrograde intrarenal surgery: Past, present, and future. Investig Clin Urol. 2021;62:121-35. doi: 10.4111/icu.20200526.
- Moses RA, Ghali FM, Pais VM Jr, Hyams ES. Unplanned Hospital Return for Infection following Ureteroscopy-Can We Identify Modifiable Risk Factors? J Urol. 2016;195(4 Pt 1):931-6. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2015.09.074.
- Giulioni C, Castellani D, Somani BK, Chew BH, Tailly T, Keat WOL, et al. The efficacy of retrograde intra-renal surgery (RIRS) for lower pole stones: results from 2946 patients. World J Urol. 2023;41:1407-13. doi: 10.1007/ s00345-023-04363-6.
- Corrales M, Panthier F, Solano C, Candela L, Traxer O. Laser safety, warnings, and limits in retrograde intrarenal surgery. Actas Urol Esp (Engl Ed). 202448:19-24. English, Spanish. doi: 10.1016/j.acuroe.2023.06.009.
- Bhanot R, Pietropaolo A, Tokas T, Kallidonis P, Skolarikos A, Keller EX, et al. Predictors and Strategies to Avoid Mortality Following Ureteroscopy for Stone Disease:

- A Systematic Review from European Association of Urologists Sections of Urolithiasis (EULIS) and Urotechnology (ESUT). Eur Urol Focus. 2022;8:598-607. doi: 10.1016/j.euf.2021.02.014.
- De Coninck V, Keller EX, Rodríguez-Monsalve M, Audouin M, Doizi S, Traxer O. Systematic review of ureteral access sheaths: facts and myths. BJU Int. 2018;122:959-69. doi: 10.1111/bju.14389.
- Patel AU, Aldoukhi AH, Majdalany SE, Plott J, Ghani KR. Development and Testing of an Anatomic in vitro Kidney Model for Measuring Intrapelvic Pressure During Ureteroscopy. Urology. 2021;154:83-8. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2021.04.024.
- Aykanat C, Balci M, Senel C, Ozercan AY, Coser S, Aslan Y, et al. The Impact of Ureteral Access Sheath Size on Perioperative Parameters and Postoperative Ureteral Stricture in Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery. J Endourol. 2022;36:1013-7. doi: 10.1089/end.2021.0751.
- 17. Kaler KS, Lama DJ, Safiullah S, Cooper V, Valley ZA, O'Leary ML, et al. Ureteral Access Sheath Deployment: How Much Force Is Too Much? Initial Studies with a Novel Ureteral Access Sheath Force Sensor in the Porcine Ureter. J Endourol. 2019;33:712-8. doi: 10.1089/end.2019.0211.
- Djojodimedjo T, Soebadi DM, Soetjipto. Escherichia coli infection induces mucosal damage and expression of proteins promoting urinary stone formation. Urolithiasis. 2013;41:295-301. doi: 10.1007/s00240-013-0577-4.
- Koras O, Bozkurt IH, Karakoyunlu AN, Celik S, Sefik E, Yarımoglu S, et al. Retrospective Analysis of the Factors Affecting Intraoperative and Immediate Postoperative Complications of Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery Classified by the Clavien and Satava Grading Systems. J Endourol. 2021;35:1764-72. doi: 10.1089/end.2021.0238.
- Cooper JL, François N, Sourial MW, Miyagi H, Rose JR, Shields J, et al. The Impact of Ureteral Access Sheath Use on the Development of Abnormal Postoperative Upper Tract Imaging after Ureteroscopy. J Urol. 2020;204:976-81. doi: 10.1097/JU.00000000000001147.
- Giulioni C, Brocca C, Gauhar V, Somani BK, Chew BH, Traxer O, et al. Does age impact outcomes of retrograde intrarenal surgery in the elderly? Results from 366 patients from the FLEXible ureteroscopy outcomes registry (FLEXOR). Aging Clin Exp Res. 2023;35:2711-9. doi: 10.1007/s40520-023-02545-1.

- 22. Dybowski B, Bres-Niewada E, Rzeszutko M, Tkaczyk A, Woźniak B, Wójcik M, et al. Risk factors for infectious complications after retrograde intrarenal surgery a systematic review and narrative synthesis. Cent European J Urol. 2021;74:437-45. doi: 10.5173/ceju.2021.250.
- Lisk R, Uddin M, Parbhoo A, Yeong K, Fluck D, Sharma P, et al. Predictive model of length of stay in hospital among older patients. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2019;31:993-9. doi: 10.1007/s40520-018-1033-7.
- 24. Negrete-Pulido O, Gutierrez-Aceves J. Management of infectious complications in percutaneous nephrolithotomy. J Endourol. 2009;23:1757-62. doi: 10.1089/end.2009.1547.
- Salvadó JA, Consigliere L, Gallegos H, Rojas F, Astroza G. Subcapsular Renal-Infected Hematoma After Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery: A Rare but Serious Complication. J Endourol Case Rep. 2016;2:52-4. doi: 10.1089/cren.2016.0007.

- Shrestha A, Gharti BB, Adhikari B. Perirenal Extravasation After Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery for Renal Stones: A Prospective Study. Cureus. 2022;14:e21283. doi: 10.7759/cureus.21283.
- Kim GH, Jung G, Suh J, Park J, Cho SY. A Newly Developed Hematuria Grading System May Predict the Status of Stone-Free and Acute Pyelonephritis of Minimally Invasive Renal Stone Surgery. J Clin Med. 2023;12:2820. doi: 10.3390/jcm12082820.
- 28. Zanaty F, Elshazly M, Kandeel H, Salman B. A single center comparative study of two single use digital flexible ureteroscopy in the management of renal stones less than 2 cm. World J Urol. 2023;41:777-82. doi: 10.1007/s00345-023-04290-6.
- Traxer O, Thomas A. Prospective evaluation and classification of ureteral wall injuries resulting from insertion of a ureteral access sheath during retrograde intrarenal surgery. J Urol. 2013;189:580-4. doi: 10.1016/j. juro.2012.08.197.

Correspondence address: Carlo Giulioni, MD

Urology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria delle Marche, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy. Via Conca 71, 60126, Ancona, Italy. Fax: + 39 715 963-367

E-mail: carlo.giulioni9@gmail.com